

Survey of Likely GOP Voters in Oregon Crosstab Report

2. Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Jason Conger?

Q2-CONGER FAVS * Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE Crosstabulation

		WZ-OONOLK I AVO WI-LIKI		Q1-LIKELY	′ TO VOTE		Total
			ALREADY VOTED	VERY LIKELY TO	SOMEWHAT	NOT AT ALL LIKELY	
		Count	19	71	0	0	90
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	23.5%	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%
	0015144147 541400 4015	Count	23	101	3	0	127
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	28.4%	20.1%	33.3%	0.0%	20.9%
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	6	82	0	6	94
Q2-CONGER FAVS		% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	7.4%	16.3%	0.0%	37.5%	15.5%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	7	33	3	5	48
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	8.6%	6.6%	33.3%	31.2%	7.9%
	NO OPINION	Count	26	215	3	5	249
	NO OPINION	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	32.1%	42.8%	33.3%	31.2%	41.0%
	Total	Count	81	502	9	16	608
	10101	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q2-CONGER FAVS * PARTY Crosstabulation

			PAR	:TY	Total
			NON-AFFILIATED	REPUBLICAN	
	V5DV 5440D 4D1 5	Count	1	89	90
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within PARTY	2.9%	15.5%	14.8%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	1	126	127
		% within PARTY	2.9%	22.0%	20.9%
Q2-CONGER FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	8	86	94
Q2-CONGER FAVS		% within PARTY	22.9%	15.0%	15.5%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	8	40	48
	VERT UNFAVORABLE	% within PARTY	22.9%	7.0%	7.9%
	NO OPINION	Count	17	232	249
	NO OPINION	% within PARTY	48.6%	40.5%	41.0%
	Total	Count	35	573	608
	. 310.	% within PARTY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q2-CONGER FAVS * PHILOSOPHY Crosstabulation

				P	HILOSOPHY		Total
			LIBERAL	MODERATE	CONSERVATIVE	T-PARTY CONSERVATIVE	
		Count	7	23	56	4	90
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	8.0%	10.1%	26.2%	5.0%	14.8%
		Count	14	47	47	19	127
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	16.1%	20.7%	22.0%	23.8%	20.9%
	001511111711171170017	Count	6	44	30	14	94
Q2-CONGER FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	6.9%	19.4%	14.0%	17.5%	15.5%
	VED./ IN EAVODARI E	Count	12	17	10	8	47
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	13.8%	7.5%	4.7%	10.0%	7.7%
		Count	48	96	71	35	250
	NO OPINION	% within PHILOSOPHY	55.2%	42.3%	33.2%	43.8%	41.1%
			87	227	214	80	608
	Total	% within PHILOSOPHY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q2-CONGER FAVS * GENDER Crosstabulation

	Q2-CONGER FAVS	* GENDER Crosstabulation			
			GEN	IDER	Total
			MEN	WOMEN	
	VEDV. E. W. O. D. D. E.	Count	34	56	90
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within GENDER	11.1%	18.6%	14.9%
	COMENNIATEANODADIE	Count	69	58	127
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within GENDER	22.6%	19.3%	21.0%
00 0011050 511/0	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	51	42	93
Q2-CONGER FAVS		% within GENDER	16.7%	14.0%	15.3%
	VEDVINEAVODADIE	Count	36	11	47
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within GENDER	11.8%	3.7%	7.8%
	NO ODINION	Count	115	134	249
	NO OPINION	% within GENDER	37.7%	44.5%	41.1%
	Total	Count	305	301	606
	Total	% within GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q2-CONGER FAVS * MARITAL STATUS Crosstabulation

				MARITAL STA	TUS	Total
			MARRIED	SINGLE	DIVORCED/SEPARA	
					TED	
	VEDV FAVORADI F	Count	65	21	4	90
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	14.9%	16.3%	9.5%	14.9%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	88	30	9	127
		% within MARITAL STATUS	20.2%	23.3%	21.4%	21.0%
00.001/055.54/0	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	77	13	3	93
Q2-CONGER FAVS		% within MARITAL STATUS	17.7%	10.1%	7.1%	15.3%
	VEDVINEAUODADIE	Count	39	6	2	47
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	9.0%	4.7%	4.8%	7.8%
		Count	166	59	24	249
	NO OPINION	% within MARITAL STATUS	38.2%	45.7%	57.1%	41.1%
	T	Count	435	129	42	606
	Total	% within MARITAL STATUS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q2-CONGER FAVS * OCCUPATION Crosstabulation

		Q2-CONGER FAVS * OC	CON ATION CIOSSIAN				
				OCCUI	PATION		Total
			BLUE COLLAR	WHITE COLLAR	SELF-EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED-	
						RETIRED-	
						DISABLED	
	VERY FAVORABLE	Count	12	13	17	48	90
	VERTITIONABLE	% within OCCUPATION	14.6%	12.4%	16.7%	15.0%	14.8%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	11	32	19	66	128
		% within OCCUPATION	13.4%	30.5%	18.6%	20.7%	21.1%
Q2-CONGER FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	11	8	18	57	94
Q2-CONGERTAVS	SOMEWHAT ON AVOICABLE	% within OCCUPATION	13.4%	7.6%	17.6%	17.9%	15.5%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	10	4	6	27	47
	VERT UNI AVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	12.2%	3.8%	5.9%	8.5%	7.7%
	NO OPINION	Count	38	48	42	121	249
	INO OF IINION	% within OCCUPATION	46.3%	45.7%	41.2%	37.9%	41.0%
	Total	Count	82	105	102	319	608
	Total	% within OCCUPATION	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q2-CONGER FAVS * UNION MEMBERSHIP Crosstabulation

				UNION MEMBERSHIP		Total
			PUBLIC SECTOR UNION MEMBER	PRIVATE SECTOR UNION MEMBER	NON UNION MEMEBER	
		Count	11	5	74	90
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	18.3%	12.8%	14.6%	14.8%
		Count	7	15	106	128
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	11.7%	38.5%	20.9%	21.1%
00 0011055 541/0	OOMEN/UNT LINEAU/ODADLE	Count	6	6	81	93
Q2-CONGER FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	10.0%	15.4%	15.9%	15.3%
	VEDVINEAVODADIE	Count	10	1	36	47
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	16.7%	2.6%	7.1%	7.7%
	NO ODINION	Count	26	12	211	249
	NO OPINION	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	43.3%	30.8%	41.5%	41.0%
	Total	Count	60	39	508	607
	Total	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q2-CONGER FAVS * AGE Crosstabulation

						AGE			_	Total
			UNDER AGE 25	AGE 25-34	AGE 35-44	AGE 45-54	AGE 55-64	AGE 65-74	AGE 75 +	
		Count	12	3	0	13	24	23	16	91
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within AGE	38.7%	6.8%	0.0%	14.3%	21.8%	15.9%	13.1%	14.9%
		Count	4	7	21	16	22	27	31	128
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within AGE	12.9%	15.9%	31.3%	17.6%	20.0%	18.6%	25.4%	21.0%
00 001/055 51/0	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	3	9	15	18	9	19	22	95
Q2-CONGER FAVS		% within AGE	9.7%	20.5%	22.4%	19.8%	8.2%	13.1%	18.0%	15.6%
	VEDY LINEAL/ODADLE	Count	5	5	0	8	6	14	9	47
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within AGE	16.1%	11.4%	0.0%	8.8%	5.5%	9.7%	7.4%	7.7%
	NO ODINION	Count	7	20	31	36	49	62	44	249
	NO OPINION	% within AGE	22.6%	45.5%	46.3%	39.6%	44.5%	42.8%	36.1%	40.8%
	Total	Count	31	44	67	91	110	145	122	610
	10101	% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q2-CONGER FAVS * INCOME Crosstabulation

					INCOME			Total
			LESS THAN \$50K YEAR	\$50K TO \$75K	\$75K TO \$125K	\$125K OR MORE	DECLINED	
		Count	39	19	11	4	17	90
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within INCOME	16.0%	14.1%	11.3%	6.1%	25.4%	14.8%
	OOMENIUM FAVORARI F	Count	50	30	25	7	15	127
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within INCOME	20.6%	22.2%	25.8%	10.6%	22.4%	20.9%
00 001055 541/0	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	33	20	16	16	8	93
Q2-CONGER FAVS		% within INCOME	13.6%	14.8%	16.5%	24.2%	11.9%	15.3%
	VEDVIJNEAVODADIE	Count	16	9	5	15	3	48
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within INCOME	6.6%	6.7%	5.2%	22.7%	4.5%	7.9%
	NO ODINION	Count	105	57	40	24	24	250
	NO OPINION	% within INCOME	43.2%	42.2%	41.2%	36.4%	35.8%	41.1%
	Total		243	135	97	66	67	608
	i Otai	% within INCOME	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q2-CONGER FAVS * CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT Crosstabulation

				CONG	RESSIONAL DIS	STRICT	ī	Total
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
		Count	13	27	21	7	22	90
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	9.6%	21.8%	17.4%	7.8%	16.2%	14.9%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	33	33	29	11	21	127
		% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	24.4%	26.6%	24.0%	12.2%	15.4%	21.0%
		Count	23	12	29	13	15	92
Q2-CONGER FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	17.0%	9.7%	24.0%	14.4%	11.0%	15.2%
		Count	6	11	6	14	11	48
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	4.4%	8.9%	5.0%	15.6%	8.1%	7.9%
		Count	60	41	36	45	67	249
	NO OPINION	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	44.4%	33.1%	29.8%	50.0%	49.3%	41.1%
		Count	135	124	121	90	136	606
	Total	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



3. Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Mark Callahan?

CALLAHAN FAVS * Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE Crosstabulation

		CALLAHAN FAVO QI-LIN		Q1-LIKELY	′ TO VOTE		Total
			ALREADY VOTED	VERY LIKELY TO	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT AT ALL LIKELY	
		Count	10	7	1	0	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	12.3%	1.4%	10.0%	0.0%	3.0%
	0045444754440040	Count	15	65	3	0	83
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	18.5%	12.9%	30.0%	0.0%	13.6%
CALLALIAN FAVO	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	7	49	0	6	62
CALLAHAN FAVS		% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	8.6%	9.8%	0.0%	37.5%	10.2%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	4	18	2	5	29
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	4.9%	3.6%	20.0%	31.2%	4.8%
	NO OPINION	Count	45	363	4	5	417
	NO OPINION	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	55.6%	72.3%	40.0%	31.2%	68.5%
	Total	Count	81	502	10	16	609
	. 0.00.	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



CALLAHAN FAVS * PARTY Crosstabulation

			PAR	RTY	Total
			NON-AFFILIATED	REPUBLICAN	
		Count	0	18	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within PARTY	0.0%	3.1%	3.0%
	001504447504004045	Count	3	79	82
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within PARTY	8.6%	13.8%	13.5%
0411411411541/0	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	5	57	62
CALLAHAN FAVS		% within PARTY	14.3%	9.9%	10.2%
	VEDVLINEAVODADLE	Count	5	24	29
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within PARTY	14.3%	4.2%	4.8%
	NO ODINION	Count	22	395	417
	NO OPINION	% within PARTY	62.9%	68.9%	68.6%
	Total	Count	35	573	608
	i Otal	% within PARTY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



CALLAHAN FAVS * PHILOSOPHY Crosstabulation

				Р	HILOSOPHY		Total
			LIBERAL	MODERATE	CONSERVATIVE	T-PARTY CONSERVATIVE	
	V5DV 544 (0D 4D) 5	Count	7	8	3	0	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	8.1%	3.5%	1.4%	0.0%	3.0%
	OOMEN/UAT FALVORARI F	Count	8	36	31	8	83
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	9.3%	15.9%	14.4%	9.9%	13.6%
0411411415410	0011514444741115144004015	Count	4	39	10	9	62
CALLAHAN FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	4.7%	17.3%	4.6%	11.1%	10.2%
	VEDVUNEAVODADLE	Count	6	11	8	4	29
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	7.0%	4.9%	3.7%	4.9%	4.8%
	NO ODIVION	Count	61	132	164	60	417
	NO OPINION	% within PHILOSOPHY	70.9%	58.4%	75.9%	74.1%	68.5%
	Total	Count	86	226	216	81	609
	TOTAL	% within PHILOSOPHY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



CALLAHAN FAVS * GENDER Crosstabulation

	CALLAHAN FAVS	GENDER Crosstabulation			-
			GEN	DER	Total
			MEN	WOMEN	
	VERY FAVORABLE	Count	13	4	17
	VERTTAVORABLE	% within GENDER	4.3%	1.3%	2.8%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	47	35	82
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within GENDER	15.5%	11.6%	13.6%
CALLAHAN FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	34	28	62
CALLAMAN FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within GENDER	11.2%	9.3%	10.2%
	VEDVIINEAVODADIE	Count	21	7	28
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within GENDER	6.9%	2.3%	4.6%
	NO ODINION	Count	189	227	416
	NO OPINION	% within GENDER	62.2%	75.4%	68.8%
	Total	Count	304	301	605
	iotai	% within GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



CALLAHAN FAVS * MARITAL STATUS Crosstabulation

				MARITAL STA	TUS	Total
			MARRIED	SINGLE	DIVORCED/SEPARA TED	
		Count	13	4	1	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	3.0%	3.1%	2.4%	3.0%
		Count	51	25	7	83
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	11.7%	19.2%	16.7%	13.7%
0.44.44.45.40		Count	49	10	2	61
CALLAHAN FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	11.3%	7.7%	4.8%	10.0%
	VEDV (INEW OD 15) 5	Count	24	3	1	28
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	5.5%	2.3%	2.4%	4.6%
	NO OBINION	Count	298	88	31	417
	NO OPINION	% within MARITAL STATUS	68.5%	67.7%	73.8%	68.7%
	Total	Count	435	130	42	607
	Total	% within MARITAL STATUS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



CALLAHAN FAVS * OCCUPATION Crosstabulation

		CALLAHAN PAVS			PATION		Total
			BLUE COLLAR	WHITE COLLAR	SELF-EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED-	
						RETIRED- DISABLED	
	VERY FAVORABLE	Count	10	0	2	5	17
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	12.2%	0.0%	1.9%	1.6%	2.8%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	9	20	10	44	83
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	11.0%	19.0%	9.7%	13.8%	13.7%
0.011.011.011.50.70		Count	9	7	8	38	62
CALLAHAN FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	11.0%	6.7%	7.8%	11.9%	10.2%
	VEDY UNEAVORABLE	Count	7	3	4	15	29
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	8.5%	2.9%	3.9%	4.7%	4.8%
	NO ODINION	Count	47	75	79	216	417
	NO OPINION	% within OCCUPATION	57.3%	71.4%	76.7%	67.9%	68.6%
	Total	Count	82	105	103	318	608
	IUlai	% within OCCUPATION	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



CALLAHAN FAVS * UNION MEMBERSHIP Crosstabulation

				UNION MEMBERSHIP		Total
			PUBLIC SECTOR UNION MEMBER	PRIVATE SECTOR UNION MEMBER	NON UNION MEMEBER	
		Count	7	3	9	19
VE	VERY FAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	11.5%	7.5%	1.8%	3.1%
		Count	1	9	72	82
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	1.6%	22.5%	14.2%	13.5%
		Count	7	5	49	61
CALLAHAN FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	11.5%	12.5%	9.7%	10.0%
		Count	9	1	18	28
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	14.8%	2.5%	3.6%	4.6%
		Count	37	22	359	418
	NO OPINION	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	60.7%	55.0%	70.8%	68.8%
	Tatal	Count	61	40	507	608
	Total	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



CALLAHAN FAVS * AGE Crosstabulation

						AGE				Total
			UNDER AGE 25	AGE 25-34	AGE 35-44	AGE 45-54	AGE 55-64	AGE 65-74	AGE 75 +	
		Count	7	3	0	2	3	3	1	19
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within AGE	22.6%	6.8%	0.0%	2.2%	2.7%	2.1%	0.8%	3.1%
		Count	1	7	14	4	12	21	23	82
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within AGE	3.2%	15.9%	20.9%	4.3%	10.9%	14.4%	19.0%	13.4%
	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	1	9	10	11	8	10	14	63
CALLAHAN FAVS		% within AGE	3.2%	20.5%	14.9%	12.0%	7.3%	6.8%	11.6%	10.3%
		Count	4	5	0	4	5	9	2	29
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within AGE	12.9%	11.4%	0.0%	4.3%	4.5%	6.2%	1.7%	4.7%
		Count	18	20	43	71	82	103	81	418
	NO OPINION	% within AGE	58.1%	45.5%	64.2%	77.2%	74.5%	70.5%	66.9%	68.4%
	Total	Count	31	44	67	92	110	146	121	611
	Total	% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



CALLAHAN FAVS * INCOME Crosstabulation

			HAN FAVS INCOME		INCOME			Total
			LESS THAN \$50K YEAR	\$50K TO \$75K	\$75K TO \$125K	\$125K OR MORE	DECLINED	
		Count	8	2	6	1	2	19
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within INCOME	3.3%	1.5%	6.1%	1.5%	3.0%	3.1%
	OOMEW!! IAT EAVORABLE	Count	34	22	10	5	11	82
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within INCOME	14.0%	16.3%	10.2%	7.6%	16.4%	13.5%
CALLALIANI FAVO	COMENAULAT LINEAUODADLE	Count	19	15	13	7	8	62
CALLAHAN FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within INCOME	7.8%	11.1%	13.3%	10.6%	11.9%	10.2%
	VEDVINEAVODADIE	Count	8	4	3	14	0	29
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within INCOME	3.3%	3.0%	3.1%	21.2%	0.0%	4.8%
	NO ODINION	Count	174	92	66	39	46	417
	NO OPINION	% within INCOME	71.6%	68.1%	67.3%	59.1%	68.7%	68.5%
	Total	Count	243	135	98	66	67	609
	ı olai	% within INCOME	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



CALLAHAN FAVS * CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT Crosstabulation

				CONG	RESSIONAL DIS	TRICT		Total
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
		Count	4	8	1	1	3	17
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	3.0%	6.5%	0.8%	1.1%	2.2%	2.8%
SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	18	21	17	11	16	83	
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	13.3%	17.1%	14.0%	12.1%	11.8%	13.7%
		Count	15	12	17	4	14	62
CALLAHAN FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	11.1%	9.8%	14.0%	4.4%	10.3%	10.2%
		Count	7	5	5	9	2	28
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5.2%	4.1%	4.1%	9.9%	1.5%	4.6%
		Count	91	77	81	66	101	416
NO OPIN	NO OPINION	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	67.4%	62.6%	66.9%	72.5%	74.3%	68.69
		Count	135	123	121	91	136	606
	Total	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0



4. Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Timothy Crawley?

Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE Crosstabulation

		Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * Q1-LIK	EET TO VOTE GROSS	abulation			
				Q1-LIKELY	TO VOTE		Total
			ALREADY VOTED	VERY LIKELY TO	SOMEWHAT	NOT AT ALL	
				VOTE	LIKELY	LIKELY	
	VERY FAVORABLE	Count	11	7	0	0	18
	VERT FAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	13.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	14	41	0	0	55
	SOMEWHATTAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	17.3%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	6	53	2	6	67
Q4-CRAWLETTAV3	SOMEWHAT ON AVOICABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	7.4%	10.6%	25.0%	37.5%	11.1%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	4	15	2	5	26
	VERT ON AVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	4.9%	3.0%	25.0%	31.2%	4.3%
	NO OPINION	Count	46	385	4	5	440
	INO OF INION	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	56.8%	76.8%	50.0%	31.2%	72.6%
	Total	Count	81	501	8	16	606
	Total	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * PARTY Crosstabulation

			PAR	RTY	Total
			NON-AFFILIATED	REPUBLICAN	
		Count	0	18	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within PARTY	0.0%	3.1%	3.0%
	OOMEN/HAT FALVORABLE	Count	2	53	55
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within PARTY	5.9%	9.2%	9.1%
04.000.000	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	4	63	67
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS		% within PARTY	11.8%	11.0%	11.0%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	4	22	26
	VERT UNFAVORABLE	% within PARTY	11.8%	3.8%	4.3%
	NO OPINION	Count	24	417	441
	NO OFINION	% within PARTY	70.6%	72.8%	72.7%
	Total	Count	34	573	607
	. 5.00.	% within PARTY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * PHILOSOPHY Crosstabulation

				PI	HILOSOPHY		Total
			LIBERAL	MODERATE	CONSERVATIVE	T-PARTY	
						CONSERVATIVE	
	VERY FAVORABLE	Count	8	6	2	2	18
	VERT FAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	9.2%	2.7%	0.9%	2.5%	3.0%
	COMENMULATION ADJU	Count	6	26	21	3	56
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	6.9%	11.6%	9.7%	3.7%	9.2%
04 00 000 11 50 50 10	OOMENNING THE AVORABLE	Count	4	38	16	9	67
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	4.6%	16.9%	7.4%	11.1%	11.0%
	VEDVINEAVODADIE	Count	7	10	5	5	27
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	8.0%	4.4%	2.3%	6.2%	4.4%
	NO ODINION	Count	62	145	172	62	441
	NO OPINION	% within PHILOSOPHY	71.3%	64.4%	79.6%	76.5%	72.4%
	Total	Count	87	225	216	81	609
	i Uldi	% within PHILOSOPHY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * GENDER Crosstabulation

			GEN	IDER	Total
			MEN	WOMEN	
		Count	13	5	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within GENDER	4.3%	1.7%	3.0%
	00151111175511001015	Count	34	21	55
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within GENDER	11.1%	7.0%	9.1%
04 00 004 57 5070	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	39	28	67
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS		% within GENDER	12.8%	9.3%	11.1%
	VEDVLINEAVODADLE	Count	19	7	26
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within GENDER	6.2%	2.3%	4.3%
	NO ODINION	Count	200	240	440
	NO OPINION	% within GENDER	65.6%	79.7%	72.6%
	Total	Count	305	301	606
	Total	% within GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * MARITAL STATUS Crosstabulation

				MARITAL STA	TUS	Total
			MARRIED	SINGLE	DIVORCED/SEPARA	
					TED	
	VERVENVORARIE	Count	14	4	0	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	3.2%	3.1%	0.0%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	39	12	5	56
		% within MARITAL STATUS	8.9%	9.2%	11.9%	9.2%
0.4.00.00411.577.54770	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	50	13	4	67
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS		% within MARITAL STATUS	11.5%	10.0%	9.5%	11.0%
	VEDVLINEAVODADLE	Count	21	4	1	26
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	4.8%	3.1%	2.4%	4.3%
	NO ODINION	Count	312	97	32	441
	NO OPINION	% within MARITAL STATUS	71.6%	74.6%	76.2%	72.5%
	Total	Count	436	130	42	608
	Total	% within MARITAL STATUS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * OCCUPATION Crosstabulation

		Q4-CRAWLET FAVS " U	COULTION CIOSSIAL	diation			
				OCCUI	PATION		Total
			BLUE COLLAR	WHITE COLLAR	SELF-EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED-	
						RETIRED-	
						DISABLED	
	VEDV FAVODADI F	Count	9	1	0	8	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	10.8%	1.0%	0.0%	2.5%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	5	15	7	28	55
		% within OCCUPATION	6.0%	14.3%	6.9%	8.8%	9.0%
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	13	6	9	40	68
Q4-CRAWLET FAVS		% within OCCUPATION	15.7%	5.7%	8.8%	12.6%	11.2%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	5	3	3	15	26
	VERTUNFAVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	6.0%	2.9%	2.9%	4.7%	4.3%
	NO OPINION	Count	51	80	83	227	441
	NO OPINION	% within OCCUPATION	61.4%	76.2%	81.4%	71.4%	72.5%
	Total	Count	83	105	102	318	608
	Total	% within OCCUPATION	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * UNION MEMBERSHIP Crosstabulation

				UNION MEMBERSHIP		Total
			PUBLIC SECTOR UNION MEMBER	PRIVATE SECTOR UNION MEMBER	NON UNION MEMEBER	
		Count	8	3	8	19
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	13.3%	7.3%	1.6%	3.1%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	1	8	47	56
		% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	1.7%	19.5%	9.3%	9.2%
0.4.00.004 57.5070	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	4	7	56	67
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS		% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	6.7%	17.1%	11.0%	11.0%
	VEDV. IN EAR (OD AD) E	Count	9	2	15	26
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	15.0%	4.9%	3.0%	4.3%
	NO OBINION	Count	38	21	382	441
	NO OPINION	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	63.3%	51.2%	75.2%	72.4%
	Total	Count	60	41	508	609
	i Otal	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * AGE Crosstabulation

						AGE				Total
			UNDER AGE 25	AGE 25-34	AGE 35-44	AGE 45-54	AGE 55-64	AGE 65-74	AGE 75 +	
		Count	9	3	0	2	1	2	1	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within AGE	30.0%	6.8%	0.0%	2.2%	0.9%	1.4%	0.8%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	0	7	8	3	11	14	14	57
		% within AGE	0.0%	15.9%	11.9%	3.3%	10.0%	9.6%	11.6%	9.3%
	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	2	9	9	12	10	13	13	68
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS		% within AGE	6.7%	20.5%	13.4%	13.0%	9.1%	8.9%	10.7%	11.1%
		Count	4	5	0	4	2	8	3	26
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within AGE	13.3%	11.4%	0.0%	4.3%	1.8%	5.5%	2.5%	4.3%
		Count	15	20	50	71	86	109	90	441
	NO OPINION	% within AGE	50.0%	45.5%	74.6%	77.2%	78.2%	74.7%	74.4%	72.3%
		Count	30	44	67	92	110	146	121	610
	Total	% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * INCOME Crosstabulation

					INCOME			Total
			LESS THAN \$50K YEAR	\$50K TO \$75K	\$75K TO \$125K	\$125K OR MORE	DECLINED	
		Count	10	2	5	0	2	19
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within INCOME	4.1%	1.5%	5.2%	0.0%	3.0%	3.1%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	23	15	8	4	4	54
		% within INCOME	9.5%	11.2%	8.2%	6.1%	6.0%	8.9%
04 00 004 57 5070	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	21	17	11	8	10	67
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS		% within INCOME	8.6%	12.7%	11.3%	12.1%	14.9%	11.0%
	VEDVINEAVODADIE	Count	8	3	3	12	0	26
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within INCOME	3.3%	2.2%	3.1%	18.2%	0.0%	4.3%
	NO OPINION	Count	181	97	70	42	51	441
	NO OPINION	% within INCOME	74.5%	72.4%	72.2%	63.6%	76.1%	72.7%
	Total	Count	243	134	97	66	67	607
	iotai	% within INCOME	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS * CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT Crosstabulation

				CONG	RESSIONAL DIS	STRICT	ī	Total
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
		Count	4	10	1	0	2	17
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	3.0%	8.1%	0.8%	0.0%	1.5%	2.8%
SOMEV		Count	15	20	4	5	11	55
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	11.2%	16.3%	3.3%	5.5%	8.1%	9.1%
		Count	12	11	21	7	15	66
Q4-CRAWLEY FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	9.0%	8.9%	17.5%	7.7%	11.0%	10.9%
		Count	5	6	5	9	1	26
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	3.7%	4.9%	4.2%	9.9%	0.7%	4.3%
		Count	98	76	89	70	107	440
	NO OPINION	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	73.1%	61.8%	74.2%	76.9%	78.7%	72.8%
		Count	134	123	120	91	136	604
	Total	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



5. Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Jo Rae Perkins?

Q5-PERKINS FAVS * Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE Crosstabulation

		Q5-PERKINS FAVS * Q1-LIK	LLI TO VOTE CIOSSI	abulation			
				Q1-LIKELY	TO VOTE	1	Total
			ALREADY VOTED	VERY LIKELY TO	SOMEWHAT	NOT AT ALL	
				VOTE	LIKELY	LIKELY	
	VERY FAVORABLE	Count	10	8	0	0	18
	VERTTAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	12.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	13	53	0	0	66
		% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	16.0%	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%
Q5-PERKINS FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	7	46	1	6	60
Q3-I ERRINGTAVO		% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	8.6%	9.2%	11.1%	37.5%	9.9%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	5	21	2	5	33
	VERT ON AVOIDABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	6.2%	4.2%	22.2%	31.2%	5.4%
	NO OPINION	Count	46	373	6	5	430
	NO OF INION	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	56.8%	74.5%	66.7%	31.2%	70.8%
	Total	Count	81	501	9	16	607
		% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q5-PERKINS FAVS * PARTY Crosstabulation

			PAR	RTY	Total
			NON-AFFILIATED	REPUBLICAN	
		Count	2	16	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within PARTY	5.9%	2.8%	3.0%
	00454447544004045	Count	2	63	65
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within PARTY	5.9%	11.0%	10.7%
OF BEDIVING FAVO	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	4	56	60
Q5-PERKINS FAVS		% within PARTY	11.8%	9.8%	9.9%
	VEDVLINEAVODADLE	Count	4	28	32
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within PARTY	11.8%	4.9%	5.3%
	NO ODINION	Count	22	410	432
	NO OPINION	% within PARTY	64.7%	71.6%	71.2%
	Total	Count	34	573	607
	10141	% within PARTY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q5-PERKINS FAVS * PHILOSOPHY Crosstabulation

				PI	HILOSOPHY		Total
			LIBERAL	MODERATE	CONSERVATIVE	T-PARTY CONSERVATIVE	
		Count	8	7	2	1	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	9.2%	3.1%	0.9%	1.2%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	10	30	19	6	65
		% within PHILOSOPHY	11.5%	13.3%	8.8%	7.4%	10.7%
	0005000071005000005	Count	4	30	17	9	60
Q5-PERKINS FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	4.6%	13.3%	7.9%	11.1%	9.9%
		Count	7	16	5	5	33
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	8.0%	7.1%	2.3%	6.2%	5.4%
		Count	58	142	172	60	432
	NO OPINION	% within PHILOSOPHY	66.7%	63.1%	80.0%	74.1%	71.1%
		Count	87	225	215	81	608
	Total	% within PHILOSOPHY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



O5-PERKINS FAVS * GENDER Crosstabulation

	QJ-FERRING FAVS	* GENDER Crosstabulation			
			GEN	IDER	Total
			MEN	WOMEN	
	VEDVENVODADLE	Count	12	6	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within GENDER	3.9%	2.0%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	36	30	66
		% within GENDER	11.8%	9.9%	10.9%
OF DEDIVING FAVO	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	31	29	60
Q5-PERKINS FAVS		% within GENDER	10.1%	9.6%	9.9%
	VEDVINEAVODADIE	Count	26	7	33
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within GENDER	8.5%	2.3%	5.4%
	NO ODINION	Count	201	230	431
	NO OPINION	% within GENDER	65.7%	76.2%	70.9%
	Total	Count	306	302	608
	Total	% within GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q5-PERKINS FAVS * MARITAL STATUS Crosstabulation

				MARITAL STA	TUS	Total
			MARRIED	SINGLE	DIVORCED/SEPARA TED	
		Count	9	5	4	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	2.1%	3.9%	9.5%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	41	20	5	66
		% within MARITAL STATUS	9.4%	15.5%	11.9%	10.9%
OF DEDIVING FAVO	COMENMULAT LINEAL/ODADLE	Count	50	8	2	60
Q5-PERKINS FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	11.5%	6.2%	4.8%	9.9%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	27	4	1	32
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	6.2%	3.1%	2.4%	5.3%
	NO OPINION	Count	309	92	30	431
	INO OFINION	% within MARITAL STATUS	70.9%	71.3%	71.4%	71.0%
	Total	Count	436	129	42	607
	. 516.	% within MARITAL STATUS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q5-PERKINS FAVS * OCCUPATION Crosstabulation

		Q5-PERKINS FAVS " U	CCOI ATION CIOSSIAD	ulation			
				OCCUI	PATION		Total
			BLUE COLLAR	WHITE COLLAR	SELF-EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED-	
						RETIRED-	
						DISABLED	
	VEDV FAVODARI F	Count	7	0	3	8	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	8.5%	0.0%	3.0%	2.5%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	6	19	10	30	65
		% within OCCUPATION	7.3%	18.1%	9.9%	9.4%	10.7%
OF DEDIVING FAVO	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	12	6	6	35	59
Q5-PERKINS FAVS		% within OCCUPATION	14.6%	5.7%	5.9%	11.0%	9.7%
	VEDVINEAVODADIE	Count	4	4	4	20	32
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	4.9%	3.8%	4.0%	6.3%	5.3%
	NO OPINION	Count	53	76	78	225	432
	NO OPINION	% within OCCUPATION	64.6%	72.4%	77.2%	70.8%	71.3%
	Total	Count	82	105	101	318	606
	Total	% within OCCUPATION	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q5-PERKINS FAVS * UNION MEMBERSHIP Crosstabulation

				UNION MEMBERSHIP		Total
			PUBLIC SECTOR UNION MEMBER	PRIVATE SECTOR UNION MEMBER	NON UNION MEMEBER	
		Count	7	0	11	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	11.3%	0.0%	2.2%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	3	13	50	66
		% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	4.8%	32.5%	9.9%	10.8%
	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count 3		2	55	60
Q5-PERKINS FAVS		% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	4.8%	5.0%	10.8%	9.9%
		Count	11	2	20	33
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	17.7%	5.0%	3.9%	5.4%
		Count	38	23	371	432
	NO OPINION	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	61.3%	57.5%	73.2%	70.9%
	T (1)	Count	62	40	507	609
	Total	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q5-PERKINS FAVS * AGE Crosstabulation

						AGE				Total
			UNDER AGE 25	AGE 25-34	AGE 35-44	AGE 45-54	AGE 55-64	AGE 65-74	AGE 75 +	
		Count	7	0	0	4	3	2	2	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within AGE	23.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	2.8%	1.4%	1.6%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	0	12	11	3	8	14	17	65
		% within AGE	0.0%	27.9%	16.4%	3.3%	7.3%	9.7%	13.9%	10.7%
	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	2	8	9	10	8	11	12	60
Q5-PERKINS FAVS		% within AGE	6.7%	18.6%	13.4%	11.0%	7.3%	7.6%	9.8%	9.9%
		Count	4	5	0	6	7	8	2	32
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within AGE	13.3%	11.6%	0.0%	6.6%	6.4%	5.5%	1.6%	5.3%
		Count	17	18	47	68	83	110	89	432
	NO OPINION	% within AGE	56.7%	41.9%	70.1%	74.7%	76.1%	75.9%	73.0%	71.2%
T	Count	30	43	67	91	109	145	122	607	
	Total	% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q5-PERKINS FAVS * INCOME Crosstabulation

					INCOME			Total
			LESS THAN \$50K YEAR	\$50K TO \$75K	\$75K TO \$125K	\$125K OR MORE	DECLINED	
		Count	12	1	3	0	2	18
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within INCOME	5.0%	0.7%	3.1%	0.0%	3.0%	3.0%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	27	18	8	6	6	65
		% within INCOME	11.2%	13.4%	8.2%	9.1%	9.0%	10.7%
OF DEDIVING FAVO	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	20	15	9	6	9	59
Q5-PERKINS FAVS		% within INCOME	8.3%	11.2%	9.3%	9.1%	13.4%	9.7%
	VEDVINEAVODADIE	Count	9	3	7	13	0	32
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within INCOME	3.7%	2.2%	7.2%	19.7%	0.0%	5.3%
	NO OPINION	Count	174	97	70	41	50	432
	NO OPINION	% within INCOME	71.9%	72.4%	72.2%	62.1%	74.6%	71.3%
	Total	Count	242	134	97	66	67	606
	Total	% within INCOME	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q5-PERKINS FAVS * CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT Crosstabulation

				CONG	RESSIONAL DIS	TRICT	Ī	Total
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
		Count	5	5	2	1	6	19
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	3.7%	4.0%	1.7%	1.1%	4.4%	3.1%
		Count	17	20	7	4	18	66
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	ABLE % within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT		16.1%	5.8%	4.4%	13.1%	10.9%
	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	11	13	12	10	13	59
Q5-PERKINS FAVS		% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	8.1%	10.5%	9.9%	11.0%	9.5%	9.7%
		Count	7	4	9	9	3	32
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5.2%	3.2%	7.4%	9.9%	2.2%	5.3%
		Count	95	82	91	67	97	432
	NO OPINION	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	70.4%	66.1%	75.2%	73.6%	70.8%	71.1%
		Count	135	124	121	91	137	608
	Total	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



6. Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Monica Wehby?

Q6-WEHBY FAVS * Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE Crosstabulation

		QO-WENDT FAVS " QI-LIK			′ TO VOTE		Total
			ALREADY VOTED	VERY LIKELY TO VOTE	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT AT ALL LIKELY	
		Count	32	139	0	1	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	39.5%	27.7%	0.0%	6.2%	28.3%
	COMENNIATEANODADIE	Count	14	152	1	0	167
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	17.3%	30.3%	11.1%	0.0%	27.5%
OC WELIDY FAVO	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	10	48	1	6	65
Q6-WEHBY FAVS		% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	12.3%	9.6%	11.1%	37.5%	10.7%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	7	29	2	4	42
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	8.6%	5.8%	22.2%	25.0%	6.9%
	NO OPINION	Count	18	133	5	5	161
	NO OPINION	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	22.2%	26.5%	55.6%	31.2%	26.5%
	Total	Count	81	501	9	16	607
	Ισιαι	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q6-WEHBY FAVS * PARTY Crosstabulation

			PAF	RTY	Total
			NON-AFFILIATED	REPUBLICAN	
		Count	5	167	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within PARTY	14.7%	29.1%	28.3%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	8	159	167
		% within PARTY	23.5%	27.7%	27.5%
OC MELIDY FAVO		Count	4	60	64
Q6-WEHBY FAVS		% within PARTY	11.8%	10.5%	10.5%
	VEDVLINEAVODADLE	Count	5	37	42
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within PARTY	14.7%	6.5%	6.9%
	NO OPINION	Count	12	150	162
	NO OPINION	% within PARTY	35.3%	26.2%	26.7%
	Total	Count	34	573	607
	Total	% within PARTY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q6-WEHBY FAVS * PHILOSOPHY Crosstabulation

				P	HILOSOPHY		Total
			LIBERAL	MODERATE	CONSERVATIVE	T-PARTY CONSERVATIVE	
	V5DV 544 (0D 4D) 5	Count	14	89	40	29	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	16.3%	39.6%	18.5%	35.8%	28.3%
	OOMEN/INT FAVOR ARI F	Count	25	55	65	22	167
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	29.1%	24.4%	30.1%	27.2%	27.5%
00.0050.000	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	3	22	31	8	64
Q6-WEHBY FAVS		% within PHILOSOPHY	3.5%	9.8%	14.4%	9.9%	10.5%
	VEDV. (1) E. (1)	Count	15	8	17	3	43
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within PHILOSOPHY	17.4%	3.6%	7.9%	3.7%	7.1%
		Count	29	51	63	19	162
	NO OPINION	% within PHILOSOPHY	33.7%	22.7%	29.2%	23.5%	26.6%
	Total	Count	86	225	216	81	608
	Total	% within PHILOSOPHY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q6-WEHBY FAVS * GENDER Crosstabulation

			GEN	IDER	Total
			MEN	WOMEN	
	VEDVENVODADIE	Count	93	79	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within GENDER	30.4%	26.2%	28.3%
	COMENULATEAVORABLE	Count	88	80	168
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within GENDER	28.8%	26.5%	27.6%
Q6-WEHBY FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	32	32	64
Q6-WEHBY FAVS		% within GENDER	10.5%	10.6%	10.5%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	24	18	42
	VERT UNFAVORABLE	% within GENDER	7.8%	6.0%	6.9%
	NO OPINION	Count	69	93	162
	NO OPINION	% within GENDER	22.5%	30.8%	26.6%
	Total	Count	306	302	608
	1000	% within GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q6-WEHBY FAVS * MARITAL STATUS Crosstabulation

		EIBTTAVO MARTIAE STATOGOTOS		MARITAL STA	TUS	Total
			MARRIED	SINGLE	DIVORCED/SEPARA TED	
		Count	134	29	9	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	30.8%	22.3%	21.4%	28.3%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	113	46	8	167
		% within MARITAL STATUS	26.0%	35.4%	19.0%	27.5%
OC MELIDY FAVO		Count	48	12	4	64
Q6-WEHBY FAVS		% within MARITAL STATUS	11.0%	9.2%	9.5%	10.5%
		Count	32	6	4	42
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within MARITAL STATUS	7.4%	4.6%	9.5%	6.9%
	NO OPINION	Count	108	37	17	162
	NO OFINION	% within MARITAL STATUS	24.8%	28.5%	40.5%	26.7%
	Total	Count	435	130	42	607
	. 5.6.	% within MARITAL STATUS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q6-WEHBY FAVS * OCCUPATION Crosstabulation

			CCOPATION Crossial		PATION	_	Total
			BLUE COLLAR	WHITE COLLAR	SELF-EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED- RETIRED-	
						DISABLED	
	VEDV FAVORADI F	Count	25	18	30	99	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within OCCUPATION	30.1%	17.1%	29.4%	31.1%	28.3%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	18	37	30	82	167
		% within OCCUPATION	21.7%	35.2%	29.4%	25.8%	27.5%
Q6-WEHBY FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	11	10	9	34	64
Q0-WEIIDI I AVO	SOMEWHAT ON AVOICABLE	% within OCCUPATION	13.3%	9.5%	8.8%	10.7%	10.5%
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	Count	3	8	8	24	43
	VEIXT ON AVOIDABLE	% within OCCUPATION	3.6%	7.6%	7.8%	7.5%	7.1%
	NO OPINION	Count	26	32	25	79	162
	TO ST INION	% within OCCUPATION	31.3%	30.5%	24.5%	24.8%	26.6%
	Total	Count	83	105	102	318	608
		% within OCCUPATION	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q6-WEHBY FAVS * UNION MEMBERSHIP Crosstabulation

		WENDT FAVO GRION MEMBERSI		UNION MEMBERSHIP		Total
			PUBLIC SECTOR UNION MEMBER	PRIVATE SECTOR UNION MEMBER	NON UNION MEMEBER	
		Count	20	5	147	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	33.3%	12.8%	29.0%	28.4%
	001504447504004045	Count	17	16	134	167
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	28.3%	41.0%	26.4%	27.6%
00 1451014 54440	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	3	4	56	63
Q6-WEHBY FAVS		% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	5.0%	10.3%	11.0%	10.4%
	VERVALINEAVORARIE	Count	9	1	33	43
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	15.0%	2.6%	6.5%	7.1%
	NO ODINION	Count	11	13	137	161
	NO OPINION	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	18.3%	33.3%	27.0%	26.6%
	Total	Count	60	39	507	606
	Total	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q6-WEHBY FAVS * AGE Crosstabulation

						AGE				Total
			UNDER AGE 25	AGE 25-34	AGE 35-44	AGE 45-54	AGE 55-64	AGE 65-74	AGE 75 +	
		Count	20	0	12	15	33	45	47	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within AGE	64.5%	0.0%	17.9%	16.3%	30.3%	30.8%	38.8%	28.2%
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	Count	3	5	32	38	23	40	26	167
		% within AGE	9.7%	11.6%	47.8%	41.3%	21.1%	27.4%	21.5%	27.4%
	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	Count	1	13	5	11	10	13	11	64
Q6-WEHBY FAVS		% within AGE	3.2%	30.2%	7.5%	12.0%	9.2%	8.9%	9.1%	10.5%
		Count	2	5	0	6	12	14	5	44
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within AGE	6.5%	11.6%	0.0%	6.5%	11.0%	9.6%	4.1%	7.2%
		Count	5	20	18	22	31	34	32	162
	NO OPINION	% within AGE	16.1%	46.5%	26.9%	23.9%	28.4%	23.3%	26.4%	26.6%
		Count	31	43	67	92	109	146	121	609
	Total	% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q6-WEHBY FAVS * INCOME Crosstabulation

					INCOME			Total
			LESS THAN \$50K YEAR	\$50K TO \$75K	\$75K TO \$125K	\$125K OR MORE	DECLINED	
		Count	63	43	24	25	17	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within INCOME	26.0%	32.3%	24.7%	37.9%	25.0%	28.4%
	OOMEN LATEA VODADLE	Count	64	37	33	21	13	168
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within INCOME	26.4%	27.8%	34.0%	31.8%	19.1%	27.7%
OC MELIDY FAVO	COMENNUAT LINEAVODADLE	Count	24	18	10	0	11	63
Q6-WEHBY FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within INCOME	9.9%	13.5%	10.3%	0.0%	16.2%	10.4%
	VEDVINEAVORABLE	Count	14	2	8	11	7	42
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within INCOME	5.8%	1.5%	8.2%	16.7%	10.3%	6.9%
	ve estimen		77	33	22	9	20	161
	NO OPINION	% within INCOME	31.8%	24.8%	22.7%	13.6%	29.4%	26.6%
	Total	Count	242	133	97	66	68	606
	ισιαι	% within INCOME	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q6-WEHBY FAVS * CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT Crosstabulation

		Q6-WEHBY FAVS * CONGRESSIONA			RESSIONAL DIS	TRICT		Total
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
		Count	43	31	28	29	41	172
	VERY FAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	31.6%	25.0%	23.5%	31.9%	29.9%	28.3%
		Count	40	31	47	18	32	168
	SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	29.4%	25.0%	39.5%	19.8%	23.4%	27.7%
		Count	15	13	11	8	17	64
Q6-WEHBY FAVS	SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	11.0%	10.5%	9.2%	8.8%	12.4%	10.5%
		Count	4	12	12	6	8	42
	VERY UNFAVORABLE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	2.9%	9.7%	10.1%	6.6%	5.8%	6.9%
		Count	34	37	21	30	39	161
	NO OPINION	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	25.0%	29.8%	17.6%	33.0%	28.5%	26.5%
		Count	136	124	119	91	137	607
	Total	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

7. If you were voting today in the election for the Republican nomination for U.S. Senate, and the candidates were Jason Conger, Mark Callahan, Timothy Crawly, Jo Rae Perkins, and Monica Wehby, for whom would you vote?

Q7-BALLOT TEST * Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE Crosstabulation

			QI-LIKELT TO VOTE		′ TO VOTE		Total
			ALREADY VOTED	VERY LIKELY TO VOTE	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT AT ALL LIKELY	rotai
		Count	25	109	0	0	134
	CONGER	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	30.9%	21.8%	0.0%	0.0%	22.1%
	0.411.411.4.41	Count	2	20	0	3	25
	CALLAHAN	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	2.5%	4.0%	0.0%	20.0%	4.1%
	OD AVAILEY	Count	5	12	0	0	17
07 0411 07 7507	CRAWLEY	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	6.2%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
Q7-BALLOT TEST	DEDVINO	Count	4	6	1	4	15
	PERKINS	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	4.9%	1.2%	12.5%	26.7%	2.5%
	WEHBY	Count	31	227	1	1	260
	VVENDY	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	38.3%	45.3%	12.5%	6.7%	43.0%
	NOT SURE	Count	14	127	6	7	154
	NOT SURE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	17.3%	25.3%	75.0%	46.7%	25.5%
Total		Count	81	501	8	15	605
Total		% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q7-BALLOT TEST * PARTY Crosstabulation

Q7-BALLOT TEST * PARTY Crosstabulation								
		PARTY						
			NON-AFFILIATED	REPUBLICAN				
	OONOED	Count	1	134	135			
	CONGER	% within PARTY	2.9%	23.4%	22.2%			
	CALLAHAN	Count	1	24	25			
	CALLAHAN	% within PARTY	2.9%	4.2%	4.1%			
	CRAWLEY	Count	1	15	16			
Q7-BALLOT TEST	CRAWLET	% within PARTY	2.9%	2.6%	2.6%			
Q7-BALLOT TEST	DEDIVINO	Count	2	13	15			
	PERKINS	% within PARTY	5.9%	2.3%	2.5%			
	WEHBY	Count	11	250	261			
	WENDT	% within PARTY	32.4%	43.6%	43.0%			
	NOT SURE	Count	18	137	155			
	NOT SURE	% within PARTY	52.9%	23.9%	25.5%			
Total	Total		34	573	607			
Total		% within PARTY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			



Q7-BALLOT TEST * PHILOSOPHY Crosstabulation

		W BALLOT ILO		/ Crosstabulation	HILOSOPHY		Total
			LIBERAL	MODERATE	CONSERVATIVE	T-PARTY CONSERVATIVE	
	0011055	Count	15	42	71	7	135
	CONGER	% within PHILOSOPHY	17.4%	18.6%	32.9%	8.8%	22.2%
	0.11.11.11	Count	1	17	6	0	24
	CALLAHAN	% within PHILOSOPHY	1.2%	7.5%	2.8%	0.0%	3.9%
	OD 414/1 EV	Count	2	6	7	1	16
07.041.07.7507	CRAWLEY	% within PHILOSOPHY	2.3%	2.7%	3.2%	1.2%	2.6%
Q7-BALLOT TEST	DEDIVINO	Count	3	6	7	0	16
	PERKINS	% within PHILOSOPHY	3.5%	2.7%	3.2%	0.0%	2.6%
	MELIDY	Count	28	114	73	47	262
	WEHBY	% within PHILOSOPHY	32.6%	50.4%	33.8%	58.8%	43.1%
	NOT CLIDE	Count	37	41	52	25	155
	NOT SURE	% within PHILOSOPHY	43.0%	18.1%	24.1%	31.2%	25.5%
Total		Count	86	226	216	80	608
Total		% within PHILOSOPHY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q7-BALLOT TEST * GENDER Crosstabulation

	ς. υ/ι_	OT TEST " GENDER Crossta		IDER	Total
			MEN	WOMEN	
	0011055	Count	67	68	135
	CONGER	% within GENDER	22.0%	22.5%	22.2%
	CALLAHAN	Count	12	13	25
	CALLAHAN	% within GENDER	3.9%	4.3%	4.1%
	CRAWLEY	Count	13	3	16
Q7-BALLOT TEST	CRAWLET	% within GENDER	4.3%	1.0%	2.6%
Q7-BALLOT TEST	PERKINS	Count	11	5	16
	FLIXING	% within GENDER	3.6%	1.7%	2.6%
	WEHBY	Count	131	129	260
	WEITET	% within GENDER	43.0%	42.7%	42.8%
	NOT SURE	Count	71	84	155
	NOTOOIL	% within GENDER	23.3%	27.8%	25.5%
Total		Count	305	302	607
rotar		% within GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q7-BALLOT TEST * MARITAL STATUS Crosstabulation

		Q7-BALLOT TEST * MARITAL STA	100 Orossiabulat	MARITAL STA	ŢUS	Total
			MARRIED	SINGLE	DIVORCED/SEPARA TED	
		Count	108	20	7	135
	CONGER	% within MARITAL STATUS	24.8%	15.4%	16.3%	22.2%
	0.411.411.481	Count	10	14	1	25
	CALLAHAN	% within MARITAL STATUS	2.3%	10.8%	2.3%	4.1%
	CRAWLEY	Count	12	3	2	17
07 0411 07 7507		% within MARITAL STATUS	2.8%	2.3%	4.7%	2.8%
Q7-BALLOT TEST	PERKINS	Count	10	2	4	16
	PERKINS	% within MARITAL STATUS	2.3%	1.5%	9.3%	2.6%
	WEHBY	Count	191	53	17	261
	VVENDY	% within MARITAL STATUS	43.9%	40.8%	39.5%	42.9%
	NOT SURE	Count	104	38	12	154
	NOI SURE	% within MARITAL STATUS	23.9%	29.2%	27.9%	25.3%
Total		Count	435	130	43	608
Total		% within MARITAL STATUS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q7-BALLOT TEST * OCCUPATION Crosstabulation

				OCCU	PATION		Total
			BLUE COLLAR	WHITE COLLAR	SELF-EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED-	
						RETIRED-DISABLED	
	CONGER	Count	18	20	27	70	135
	CONGER	% within OCCUPATION	22.0%	19.0%	26.5%	22.0%	22.2%
	CALLAHAN	Count	5	9	3	7	24
	CALLAHAN	% within OCCUPATION	6.1%	8.6%	2.9%	2.2%	4.0%
	CRAWLEY	Count	3	5	3	6	17
07 0411 07 7507	CRAWLEY	% within OCCUPATION	3.7%	4.8%	2.9%	1.9%	2.8%
Q7-BALLOT TEST	PERKINS	Count	5	4	3	3	15
	PERKINS	% within OCCUPATION	6.1%	3.8%	2.9%	0.9%	2.5%
	WELIDY	Count	24	40	46	151	261
	WEHBY	% within OCCUPATION	29.3%	38.1%	45.1%	47.5%	43.0%
	NOT CLIDE	Count	27	27	20	81	155
	NOT SURE	% within OCCUPATION	32.9%	25.7%	19.6%	25.5%	25.5%
Total		Count	82	105	102	318	607
Total		% within OCCUPATION	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q7-BALLOT TEST * UNION MEMBERSHIP Crosstabulation

		Q7-BALLOT TEST UNION III		UNION MEMBERSHIP		Total
			PUBLIC SECTOR	PRIVATE SECTOR	NON UNION	
			UNION MEMBER	UNION MEMBER	MEMEBER	
	CONGER	Count	18	10	107	135
	CONGER	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	29.5%	25.0%	21.2%	22.3%
	CALLAHAN	Count	1	11	13	25
	CALLAHAN	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	1.6%	27.5%	2.6%	4.1%
	OD AWI EV	Count	1	0	15	16
07 0411 07 7507	CRAWLEY	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	1.6%	0.0%	3.0%	2.6%
Q7-BALLOT TEST	DEDIVINO	Count	3	3	10	16
	PERKINS	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	4.9%	7.5%	2.0%	2.6%
	MELIDY	Count	20	9	231	260
	WEHBY	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	32.8%	22.5%	45.7%	42.9%
			18	7	129	154
	NOT SURE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	29.5%	17.5%	25.5%	25.4%
Total		Count	61	40	505	606
Total		% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q7-BALLOT TEST * AGE Crosstabulation

						AGE				Total
			UNDER AGE 25	AGE 25-34	AGE 35-44	AGE 45-54	AGE 55-64	AGE 65-74	AGE 75 +	
	CONGER	Count	14	0	11	28	28	30	24	135
		% within AGE	45.2%	0.0%	16.4%	30.4%	25.7%	20.5%	19.7%	22.1%
		Count	0	9	5	0	3	3	6	26
	CALLAHAN	% within AGE	0.0%	20.9%	7.5%	0.0%	2.8%	2.1%	4.9%	4.3%
	004144 514	Count	1	4	3	1	2	3	2	16
0-0440	CRAWLEY	% within AGE	3.2%	9.3%	4.5%	1.1%	1.8%	2.1%	1.6%	2.6%
Q7-BALLOT TEST		Count	0	5	2	5	1	1	1	15
	PERKINS	% within AGE	0.0%	11.6%	3.0%	5.4%	0.9%	0.7%	0.8%	2.5%
		Count	11	0	25	37	43	78	67	261
	WEHBY	% within AGE	35.5%	0.0%	37.3%	40.2%	39.4%	53.4%	54.9%	42.8%
	NOTOURE	Count	5	25	21	21	32	31	22	157
	NOT SURE		16.1%	58.1%	31.3%	22.8%	29.4%	21.2%	18.0%	25.7%
Total	Tatal		31	43	67	92	109	146	122	610
Total		% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q7-BALLOT TEST * INCOME Crosstabulation

			Q: D: (200)	INCOME CIOSSIADUIA				
					INCOME	1		Total
			LESS THAN \$50K YEAR	\$50K TO \$75K	\$75K TO \$125K	\$125K OR MORE	DECLINED	
		Count	55	23	31	10	17	136
	CONGER	% within INCOME	22.6%	17.0%	31.6%	15.4%	25.0%	22.3%
		Count	14	8	1	0	2	25
	CALLAHAN	% within INCOME	5.8%	5.9%	1.0%	0.0%	2.9%	4.1%
	OD A) ## 5\/	Count	7	9	0	0	0	16
07 044 07 7507	CRAWLEY	% within INCOME	2.9%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
Q7-BALLOT TEST	DEDIVINO	Count	5	3	3	5	1	17
	PERKINS	% within INCOME	2.1%	2.2%	3.1%	7.7%	1.5%	2.8%
	WELIDY	Count	94	64	42	35	25	260
	WEHBY	% within INCOME	38.7%	47.4%	42.9%	53.8%	36.8%	42.7%
	NOT CURE	Count	68	28	21	15	23	155
	NOT SURE	% within INCOME	28.0%	20.7%	21.4%	23.1%	33.8%	25.5%
Total	Total		243	135	98	65	68	609
Total		% within INCOME	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q7-BALLOT TEST * CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT Crosstabulation

				CONG	RESSIONAL DIS	TRICT		Total
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
		Count	28	32	24	17	34	135
	CONGER	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	20.6%	25.8%	20.0%	18.7%	25.0%	22.2%
	CALLAHAN	Count	11	6	0	4	3	24
	CALLAHAN	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	8.1%	4.8%	0.0%	4.4%	2.2%	4.0%
	05 HW 5V	Count	3	1	3	2	7	16
	CRAWLEY	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	2.2%	0.8%	2.5%	2.2%	5.1%	2.6%
Q7-BALLOT TEST		Count	2	8	0	4	2	16
	PERKINS	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	1.5%	6.5%	0.0%	4.4%	1.5%	2.6%
		Count	65	54	59	36	47	261
	WEHBY	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	47.8%	43.5%	49.2%	39.6%	34.6%	43.0%
		Count	27	23	34	28	43	155
	NOT SURE % with	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	19.9%	18.5%	28.3%	30.8%	31.6%	25.5%
+		Count	136	124	120	91	136	607
Total		% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

8. If you had to say, how firm would you say you are in the choice you just made for the Republican nomination for U.S. Senate? If you have already voted, please press 1.

Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE Crosstabulation

				Q1-LIKELY	TO VOTE		Total
			ALREADY VOTED	VERY LIKELY TO	SOMEWHAT	NOT AT ALL LIKELY	
		Count	57	12	1	1	71
	ALREADY VOTED	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	70.4%	2.4%	11.1%	5.9%	11.7%
	VEDV 5/2011 10 0/10/05	Count	9	214	2	8	233
	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	11.1%	42.7%	22.2%	47.1%	38.3%
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?		Count	7	133	1	6	147
	SOMEWHAT FIRM	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	8.6%	26.5%	11.1%	35.3%	24.2%
		Count	8	142	5	2	157
NOT AT ALL FIRM	NOT AT ALL FIRM	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	9.9%	28.3%	55.6%	11.8%	25.8%
		Count	81	501	9	17	608
	Total	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * PARTY Crosstabulation

			PAR	RTY	Total
			NON-AFFILIATED	REPUBLICAN	
		Count	2	69	71
	ALREADY VOTED	% within PARTY	5.9%	12.0%	11.7%
	VEDVEDA N. OUGOE	Count	16	216	232
0.5 51514 14 61161650	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	% within PARTY	47.1%	37.7%	38.2%
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?	001/51/1/17 5101/	Count	5	141	146
	SOMEWHAT FIRM	% within PARTY	14.7%	24.6%	24.1%
	NOT 17 111 FIRM	Count	11	147	158
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	% within PARTY	32.4%	25.7%	26.0%
	Total	Count	34	573	607
	lotal	% within PARTY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * PHILOSOPHY Crosstabulation

				PI	HILOSOPHY		Total
			LIBERAL	MODERATE	CONSERVATIVE	T-PARTY CONSERVATIVE	
	ALREADY VOTED	Count	19	25	18	8	70
		% within PHILOSOPHY	22.1%	11.1%	8.4%	10.0%	11.6%
	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	Count	29	92	71	40	232
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?		% within PHILOSOPHY	33.7%	40.9%	33.0%	50.0%	38.3%
Qo-FIRIVI IN CHOICE?	SOMEWHAT FIRM	Count	13	52	66	15	146
	SOMEWHAT FIRM	% within PHILOSOPHY	15.1%	23.1%	30.7%	18.8%	24.1%
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	Count	25	56	60	17	158
NOT.	NOT AT ALL FIRM	% within PHILOSOPHY	29.1%	24.9%	27.9%	21.2%	26.1%
Т	Total		86	225	215	80	606
'	otai	% within PHILOSOPHY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * GENDER Crosstabulation

			GEN	DER	Total
			MEN	WOMEN	
		Count	39	32	71
	ALREADY VOTED	% within GENDER	12.8%	10.6%	11.7%
	VEDV FIDM IN QUALIF	Count	117	115	232
ON FIRM IN QUIDIOS	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	% within GENDER	38.4%	38.1%	38.2%
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?	OOMEN/INT FIRM	Count	69	77	146
	SOMEWHAT FIRM	% within GENDER	22.6%	25.5%	24.1%
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	Count	80	78	158
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	% within GENDER	26.2%	25.8%	26.0%
	Total	Count	305	302	607
	Total	% within GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * MARITAL STATUS Crosstabulation

				MARITAL STA	TUS	Total
			MARRIED	SINGLE	DIVORCED/SEPARA	
					TED	
		Count	46	19	6	71
	ALREADY VOTED	% within MARITAL STATUS	10.6%	14.5%	14.3%	11.7%
	VEDVED MIN OLIO	Count	181	36	16	233
00 515M IN 01101050	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	% within MARITAL STATUS	41.5%	27.5%	38.1%	38.3%
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?	COMENMIATERM	Count	99	42	5	146
	SOMEWHAT FIRM	% within MARITAL STATUS	22.7%	32.1%	11.9%	24.0%
		Count	110	34	15	159
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	% within MARITAL STATUS	25.2%	26.0%	35.7%	26.1%
	Tatal	Count	436	131	42	609
	Total	% within MARITAL STATUS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * OCCUPATION Crosstabulation

		QO-I IKW IN CHOICE:					
				OCCUI	PATION		Total
			BLUE COLLAR	WHITE COLLAR	SELF-EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED-	
						RETIRED-	
						DISABLED	
	ALREADY VOTED	Count	18	13	8	31	70
	ALREADT VOTED	% within OCCUPATION	22.0%	12.4%	7.9%	9.8%	11.6%
	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	Count	31	34	44	123	232
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?		% within OCCUPATION	37.8%	32.4%	43.6%	38.8%	38.3%
QO-I IKWI IN CHOICE!	SOMEWHAT FIRM	Count	14	27	19	85	145
	SOMEWHATTIKM	% within OCCUPATION	17.1%	25.7%	18.8%	26.8%	24.0%
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	Count	19	31	30	78	158
NOTALALETINE		% within OCCUPATION	23.2%	29.5%	29.7%	24.6%	26.1%
	Total		82	105	101	317	605
	. 0.00	% within OCCUPATION	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * UNION MEMBERSHIP Crosstabulation

				UNION MEMBERSHIP		Total
			PUBLIC SECTOR UNION MEMBER	PRIVATE SECTOR UNION MEMBER	NON UNION MEMEBER	
		Count	13	10	47	70
	ALREADY VOTED	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	21.7%	25.0%	9.3%	11.5%
	VEDV FIDM IN CHOICE	Count	27	20	186	233
OR FIRM IN CHOICE?	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	45.0%	50.0%	36.7%	38.4%
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?	SOMEWHAT FIRM	Count	11	1	134	146
	SOMEWHAT FIRM	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	18.3%	2.5%	26.4%	24.1%
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	Count	9	9	140	158
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	15.0%	22.5%	27.6%	26.0%
	Total	Count	60	40	507	607
	i otai	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * AGE Crosstabulation

						AGE				Total
			UNDER AGE 25	AGE 25-34	AGE 35-44	AGE 45-54	AGE 55-64	AGE 65-74	AGE 75 +	
		Count	11	11	5	3	13	17	11	71
	ALREADY VOTED	% within AGE	34.4%	26.2%	7.5%	3.3%	11.9%	11.6%	9.1%	11.7%
		Count	16	10	16	40	39	62	50	233
	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	% within AGE	50.0%	23.8%	23.9%	43.5%	35.8%	42.5%	41.3%	38.3%
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?		Count	2	10	17	24	25	33	36	147
	SOMEWHAT FIRM	% within AGE	6.2%	23.8%	25.4%	26.1%	22.9%	22.6%	29.8%	24.1%
		Count	3	11	29	25	32	34	24	158
NOT AT ALL FIRM	% within AGE	9.4%	26.2%	43.3%	27.2%	29.4%	23.3%	19.8%	25.9%	
Count		32	42	67	92	109	146	121	609	
To	otal	% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * INCOME Crosstabulation

					INCOME			Total
			LESS THAN \$50K YEAR	\$50K TO \$75K	\$75K TO \$125K	\$125K OR MORE	DECLINED	
		Count	26	25	5	5	10	71
	ALREADY VOTED	% within INCOME	10.7%	18.7%	5.2%	7.7%	14.7%	11.7%
	VEDV FIDM IN QUOIDE	Count	90	48	38	36	20	232
OO FIRM IN CHOICES	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	% within INCOME	37.2%	35.8%	39.6%	55.4%	29.4%	38.3%
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?	SOMEWHAT FIRM	Count	62	34	20	7	22	145
	SOMEWHAT FIRM	% within INCOME	25.6%	25.4%	20.8%	10.8%	32.4%	24.0%
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	Count	64	27	33	17	16	157
NOT AT ALL FIRM	% within INCOME	26.4%	20.1%	34.4%	26.2%	23.5%	26.0%	
To	Total		242	134	96	65	68	605
10	λαι	% within INCOME	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE? * CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT Crosstabulation

				CONG	RESSIONAL DIS	STRICT		Total
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
		Count	18	22	11	8	13	72
	ALREADY VOTED	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	13.3%	17.7%	9.0%	8.7%	9.6%	11.8%
		Count	50	51	47	37	47	232
	VERY FIRM IN CHOICE	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	37.0%	41.1%	38.5%	40.2%	34.6%	38.1%
Q8-FIRM IN CHOICE?		Count	30	24	36	16	40	146
	SOMEWHAT FIRM	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	22.2%	19.4%	29.5%	17.4%	29.4%	24.0%
		Count	37	27	28	31	36	159
	NOT AT ALL FIRM	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	27.4%	21.8%	23.0%	33.7%	26.5%	26.1%
		Count	135	124	122	92	136	609
Т	otal	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



9. When you go to vote in an election to choose a party nominee, which types of issues are more important to you in decided for whom you will vote – economic issues like taxes, government regulations, and government spending, social issues like abortion and gay marriage, or quality of life issues like the environment or personal freedoms?

Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE Crosstabulation

				Q1-LIKELY	TO VOTE		Total
			ALREADY VOTED	VERY LIKELY TO	SOMEWHAT	NOT AT ALL	
				VOTE	LIKELY	LIKELY	
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	Count	53	301	5	6	365
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	66.2%	60.1%	55.6%	35.3%	60.1%
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST	SOCIAL ISSUES	Count	13	138	0	9	160
IMPORTANT?	300IAL 1330E3	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	16.2%	27.5%	0.0%	52.9%	26.4%
	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	Count	14	62	4	2	82
QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES		% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	17.5%	12.4%	44.4%	11.8%	13.5%
_	otal	Count	80	501	9	17	607
l '	Otal	% within Q1-LIKELY TO VOTE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * PARTY Crosstabulation

			PAF	RTY	Total
			NON-AFFILIATED	REPUBLICAN	
	5000000000000	Count	21	343	364
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	% within PARTY	61.8%	59.9%	60.0%
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST	2001111001150	Count	7	154	161
IMPORTANT?	SOCIAL ISSUES	% within PARTY	20.6%	26.9%	26.5%
		Count	6	76	82
	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	% within PARTY	17.6%	13.3%	13.5%
	Total	Count	34	573	607
	Total	% within PARTY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * PHILOSOPHY Crosstabulation

				Total			
			LIBERAL	MODERATE	CONSERVATIVE	T-PARTY CONSERVATIVE	
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	Count	50	155	96	63	364
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	% within PHILOSOPHY	58.8%	68.9%	44.7%	77.8%	60.1%
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST	SOCIAL ISSUES	Count	14	41	96	9	160
IMPORTANT?		% within PHILOSOPHY	16.5%	18.2%	44.7%	11.1%	26.4%
	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	Count	21	29	23	9	82
		% within PHILOSOPHY	24.7%	12.9%	10.7%	11.1%	13.5%
Total		Count	85	225	215	81	606
		% within PHILOSOPHY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * GENDER Crosstabulation

				GENDER		
			MEN	WOMEN		
	EOONOMIO IOOUEO	Count	192	173	365	
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	% within GENDER	62.7%	57.3%	60.0%	
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST	COCIAL ISSUES	Count	77	84	161	
IMPORTANT?	SOCIAL ISSUES	% within GENDER	25.2%	27.8%	26.5%	
	OHALITY OF LIFE ICCUES	Count	37	45	82	
-	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	% within GENDER	12.1%	14.9%	13.5%	
	Total	Count	306	302	608	
	Total	% within GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	



Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * MARITAL STATUS Crosstabulation

					MARITAL STATUS			
			MARRIED	SINGLE	DIVORCED/SEPARA			
					TED			
	E00NOMO 100HE0	Count	264	73	27	364		
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	% within MARITAL STATUS	60.7%	56.2%	65.9%	60.1%		
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST	SOCIAL ISSUES QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	Count	118	33	9	160		
IMPORTANT?		% within MARITAL STATUS	27.1%	25.4%	22.0%	26.4%		
		Count	53	24	5	82		
		% within MARITAL STATUS	12.2%	18.5%	12.2%	13.5%		
	Total	Count	435	130	41	606		
	Total	% within MARITAL STATUS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		



Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * OCCUPATION Crosstabulation

				Total			
			BLUE COLLAR	WHITE COLLAR	SELF-EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED-	
						RETIRED-	
						DISABLED	
	500N0NI0 100U50	Count	45	60	55	203	363
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	% within OCCUPATION	54.9%	57.1%	54.5%	64.0%	60.0%
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST		Count	22	31	35	72	160
IMPORTANT?	SOCIAL ISSUES	% within OCCUPATION	26.8%	29.5%	34.7%	22.7%	26.4%
	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	Count	15	14	11	42	82
		% within OCCUPATION	18.3%	13.3%	10.9%	13.2%	13.6%
Total		Count	82	105	101	317	605
		% within OCCUPATION	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * UNION MEMBERSHIP Crosstabulation

		Total				
			PUBLIC SECTOR UNION MEMBER	PRIVATE SECTOR UNION MEMBER	NON UNION MEMEBER	
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	Count	43	17	304	364
	LCONOMIC 1330L3	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	70.5%	43.6%	60.0%	60.0%
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST	000111 1001150	Count	11	20	130	161
IMPORTANT?	SOCIAL ISSUES	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	18.0%	51.3%	25.6%	26.5%
	OLIALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	Count	7	2	73	82
	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	11.5%	5.1%	14.4%	13.5%
_	otal	Count	61	39	507	607
Total		% within UNION MEMBERSHIP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * AGE Crosstabulation

			AGE						Total	
			UNDER AGE 25	AGE 25-34	AGE 35-44	AGE 45-54	AGE 55-64	AGE 65-74	AGE 75 +	
	Count	25	11	41	49	69	94	75	364	
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	% within AGE	80.6%	26.2%	61.2%	53.8%	63.3%	64.4%	62.0%	60.0%
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST	SOCIAL ISSUES	Count	4	21	15	31	26	30	34	161
IMPORTANT?		% within AGE	12.9%	50.0%	22.4%	34.1%	23.9%	20.5%	28.1%	26.5%
	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	Count	2	10	11	11	14	22	12	82
		% within AGE	6.5%	23.8%	16.4%	12.1%	12.8%	15.1%	9.9%	13.5%
Total		Count	31	42	67	91	109	146	121	607
		% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * INCOME Crosstabulation

	INCOME							
			LESS THAN	\$50K TO \$75K	\$75K TO \$125K	\$125K OR MORE	DECLINED	
			\$50K YEAR					
	ESONOMIO ISOLIES	Count	143	74	59	54	34	364
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	% within INCOME	59.1%	55.2%	60.8%	81.8%	50.7%	60.1%
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST		Count	69	37	26	5	23	160
IMPORTANT?	SOCIAL ISSUES	% within INCOME	28.5%	27.6%	26.8%	7.6%	34.3%	26.4%
	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	Count	30	23	12	7	10	82
	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	% within INCOME	12.4%	17.2%	12.4%	10.6%	14.9%	13.5%
		Count	242	134	97	66	67	606
	Total	% within INCOME	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT? * CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT Crosstabulation

				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT						
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th			
		Count	88	75	69	62	71	365		
	ECONOMIC ISSUES	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	65.2%	60.5%	57.0%	68.1%	51.8%	60.0%		
00.000.000.000		Count	33	32	31	18	47	161		
Q9-WHAT ISSUES MOST IMPORTANT?	SOCIAL ISSUES	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	24.4%	25.8%	25.6%	19.8%	34.3%	26.5%		
		Count	14	17	21	11	19	82		
QUALITY OF LIFE ISS	QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	10.4%	13.7%	17.4%	12.1%	13.9%	13.5%		
		Count	135	124	121	91	137	608		
	Total	% within CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		